

## Statewide Voter Registration Database Status

STATE	DATABASE STATUS
<b>Alabama</b>	Signed contract with Diebold, May 2005. Contract cancelled in September. Rebids submitted from Diebold, ES&S and SysCon. U.S. DOJ gets injunction against state for not completing database, June 7, 2006. <a href="#">State files database plan with court June 29, 2006</a> . Federal judge accepts, alters plan, July 21, 2006. <a href="#">Alabama governor appointed special master of database</a> , 8/3/06. <a href="#">Contract signed with ES&amp;S</a> , March 2007. Original court-ordered deadline of August 31, 2007 <a href="#">extended two months</a> . For more information, see <a href="#">Governor's HAVA page</a> .
<b>Alaska</b>	Statewide database currently in use. It is called the Voter Registration and Election Management System (VREMS) and has been in place since 1985. System will be <a href="#">replaced or updated</a> . For more information, see <a href="#">pp. 12-13 of Alaska's updated 2005 HAVA plan</a> , from March 2, 2005.
<b>Arizona</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Created in-house. Implemented in 2004. Contract <a href="#">signed January 2006 with IBM and ES&amp;S to develop a new database</a> .
<b>Arkansas</b>	Statewide database currently in use. <a href="#">Signed contract with ES&amp;S</a> , January 2005.
<b>California</b>	State's existing "CalVoter" registration system updated for interim use. This is part of an <a href="#">interim agreement</a> entered into with the US Department of Justice. New <a href="#">VoteCal</a> system expected to complete by <a href="#">late 2009</a> .
<b>Colorado</b>	Contract with Accenture cancelled in December 2005. <a href="#">RFP issued April 2006</a> , State <a href="#">awards new contract</a> to Saber Consulting, August 1, 2006. Officials say database will be <a href="#">complete by May 2008</a> .
<b>Connecticut</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Was built by PCC Technology Group.
<b>Delaware</b>	Statewide database currently in use. In place since 1990. For more information, see 2004 the state's <a href="#">HAVA plan</a> .
<b>District of Columbia</b>	Statewide database currently in use. The District of Columbia is a single jurisdiction. For more information, see page 3 of <a href="#">DC's HAVA plan</a> , August 2003.
<b>Florida</b>	Statewide database currently in use. The Department of State contracted with IBM to provide prime contractor and systems integration services for the development of the statewide system. For more information, see the <a href="#">FVRS Web site</a> and an <a href="#">audit of the FVRS</a> .
<b>Georgia</b>	Statewide database currently in use.
<b>Hawaii</b>	Statewide database currently in use. For more information, see p. 10 of <a href="#">Hawaii's HAVA plan</a> , October 10, 2004.
<b>Idaho</b>	Statewide database currently in use. <a href="#">Contract signed with Covansys Corporation and PCC Technology Group</a> , January 2004.
<b>Illinois</b>	Final HAVA-compliant database not complete. Contract signed with Catalyst Consulting. For more information, see p. 4 of the <a href="#">Illinois HAVA Plan</a> , April 1, 2006. Also see the <a href="#">Catalyst Web site</a> .
<b>Indiana</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with Quest Information Services, September 2004. For more information, see the <a href="#">Statewide Voter Registration System</a> Web site.
<b>Iowa</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with Saber Consulting, January 2005. For more information, see <a href="#">Iowa's voter registration Web site</a> .
<b>Kansas</b>	Statewide database, called ELVIS, currently in use. Contract with Accenture terminated by agreement, March 2005. Contract signed with ES&S, March 2005. For more information, see p. 5 of <a href="#">Canvassing Kansas</a> newsletter, March 2006.
<b>Kentucky</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Has been in place since 1973. For more information, see p. 8 of <a href="#">Kentucky's HAVA plan</a> , 2003.
<b>Louisiana</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Has been in place since 1987. For more information, see pp. 19-20 of <a href="#">Louisiana's HAVA plan</a> , August 1, 2003.
<b>Maine</b>	Contract signed with Covansys Corporation, PCC Technology Group and Aradyme Corp., February 2005. Contract with Covansys ended February, 2006. For more information, see the <a href="#">HAVA Bulletin</a> , June 2006. State enters into <a href="#">agreement with U.S. DOJ</a> to set plan to implement database, July 28, 2006.

<b>Maryland</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with Saber Consulting, April 2005.
<b>Massachusetts</b>	Statewide database currently in use. For more information, see pp. 18-19 of <a href="#">Massachusetts's HAVA plan</a> .
<b>Michigan</b>	Statewide database currently in use. The Qualified Voter File (QVF) was put in place in 1998. For more information, see <a href="#">QVF Web site</a> .
<b>Minnesota</b>	Statewide database currently in use - Statewide Voter Registration System (SVRS). The vendor is Arran Technologies, Inc.
<b>Mississippi</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with Saber Consulting in January 2005.
<b>Missouri</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with Maximus, March 2004. <a href="#">Agreement made with Boone County</a> over sharing voter data, June 2006 - all counties now part of system.
<b>Montana</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed in-house.
<b>Nebraska</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with ES&S, May 2004. For more information, see this <a href="#">press release</a> , Nov. 29, 2005.
<b>Nevada</b>	Statewide database currently in use. For more information see the state's <a href="#">NevVoter Web site</a> . Contract signed with Covansys Corporation and PCC Technology Group, November 2004. Contract with Covansys suspended, February 2006. In-house system developed, implemented May 2006. For more information, see this <a href="#">news article</a> .
<b>New Hampshire</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with Covansys Corporation and PCC Technology Group, 2005.
<b>New Jersey</b>	<a href="#">Agreement reached with DOJ</a> , October 2006 to complete implementation of database. Project taken over by Saber Corp. from Covansys mid-2006. According to state officials, the database is now up and running in all 21 counties.
<b>New Mexico</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed by ES&S. For more information, see p. 7 of <a href="#">New Mexico's HAVA plan</a> .
<b>New York</b>	Pending. According to news reports, the database may not be complete for a year to 18 months. <a href="#">Bid</a> for system offered May 2006. Draft of <a href="#">database regulations</a> . State <a href="#">completes interim database, NYSVOTER 1</a> , announces plans to work with Saber to finish final database.
<b>North Carolina</b>	Statewide database currently in use. State Elections Information Management system (SEIMS), developed in-house. For more information on SEIMS, see the <a href="#">SEIMS HAVA Policies and Procedures Report</a> .
<b>North Dakota</b>	Exempt - state does not register voters. State is building a statewide database as a record of who has voted.
<b>Ohio</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed in-house.
<b>Oklahoma</b>	Statewide database currently in use. <a href="#">Maxim Consulting hired to enhance system</a> .
<b>Oregon</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Signed contract with Saber Consulting in August 2003. For more information, see <a href="#">Oregon Central Voter Registration (OCVR) Web site</a> .
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors (SURE) is in use. Signed contract with Accenture in July 2002. For more information, see <a href="#">SURE Web site</a> .
<b>Rhode Island</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Signed contract with Covansys and PCC Technology Group (PCC) in May, 2004. For more information, see this <a href="#">information</a> on the secretary of state's Web site.
<b>South Carolina</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Has been in use for over 35 years. For more information, see p. 8 of <a href="#">South Carolina's HAVA plan</a> , Sept. 22, 2003.
<b>South Dakota</b>	Statewide database currently in use. The state Bureau of Information and Technologies Department developed the file.
<b>Tennessee</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed in-house. For more information, see p. 6 of <a href="#">Tennessee's HAVA plan</a> , July, 2004.
<b>Texas</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Contract signed with IBM / Hart Intercivic, March 2005. Click here for <a href="#">TEAM Web site</a> . System had <a href="#">problems during May 2007</a> primaries.
<b>Utah</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed in-house. For more information, see p.1 of <a href="#">Utah's HAVA plan update</a> , Nov. 8, 2004.
<b>Vermont</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed in-house. For more information see <a href="#">press releases</a> , March 2006.
<b>Virginia</b>	Contract signed with Unisys, February 2005 for new statewide database. <a href="#">System completed spring 2007</a> .
<b>Washington</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed in-house. For more information see this <a href="#">press release</a> , Feb. 1, 2006.
<b>West Virginia</b>	Statewide database currently in use. Developed by PCC Technology Group. For more information, see this <a href="#">press release</a> , Nov. 24, 2003
<b>Wisconsin</b>	Signed contract with Accenture, November 2004. State announced it will not meet the January 1, 2006 deadline for completion. Database <a href="#">not complete</a> . Recent <a href="#">update</a> from the State Board of Elections and the <a href="#">SVRS</a> Web site

**Wyoming**

Signed contract with Accenture, February 2004. State refunded money after deadline missed by Accenture, April 2006. Interim database being developed. For more information see this [press release](#), March 9, 2006 and this [press release](#), April 28, 2006. [Signed contract with Saber](#) to create system, March 2007.

